



Jerusalem 6:57
Toronto 8:23

ISRAEL NEWS

*A collection of the week's news from Israel
From the Bet El Twinning / Israel Action Committee of
Beth Avraham Yoseph of Toronto Congregation*

his new home in Tulsa, Oklahoma, Sachs, 45, said that antisemitism became so pervasive and normalized across Canada after Hamas attacked Israel on October 7, 2023, that leaving went from implausible to

Commentary...

Jews are Leaving Canada in Droves By Casey Babb

Gad Saad has lived in Canada since his family fled Lebanon in 1975 after someone showed up at their home to kill them. But last month, the professor of marketing and evolutionary behavioral sciences announced on social media that he had given up on Canada and decided to leave.

"The taxation system is astounding, rendering it nearly impossible to accumulate enough money to truly retire," Saad wrote about the first reason for his decision. It's true. The typical Canadian pays 70 percent more in income taxes than the typical American. The second reason is far more alarming. "The government's open immigration policies have made it unsafe for my family to remain here (due to the exponential increase in Jew-hatred)," he wrote.

Saad said basically the same thing on Joe Rogan's podcast in May. "I'm now leaving in large part because it became difficult for me, if not impossible, to be a high-profile Jewish professor who supports the right of Israel to exist." Saad had already taken a leave of absence from Concordia University in Montreal and will continue working as a scholar at the Declaration of Independence Center for the Study of American Freedom at the University of Mississippi.

Saad's decision made it even more painfully obvious that Canada has an antisemitism problem. As of mid-July, B'nai Brith had documented 27 violent antisemitic incidents so far this year, compared with 10 during all of 2025. As The Free Press's Rupa Subramanya reported in June, Jews account for about one percent of Canada's population of 41.6 million, but were the targets of more hate crimes reported by police in 2024 than all other religious groups combined.

Over the weekend, two locations of Jewish-owned Kiva's Bagel Bakery in Toronto were attacked, including one with gunfire. Last week, police charged a pro-Palestinian demonstrator who encouraged terrorism and "harm against members of the Israeli and Jewish communities" at protests in Toronto in 2024, alleging that he was associated with Hamas.

Canadian prime minister Mark Carney has said that "Canada's civic compact is failing Jewish Canadians" and that his government "is fully committed" to ending "the crisis of antisemitism in Canada today." But it is too little, too late for some Jewish Canadians.

From renowned physicians and educators to entrepreneurs and community leaders, Canada is losing some of its most extraordinary citizens because they no longer feel welcome or safe in the place they called home. Jews from Canada and several other countries recently asked the U.S. State Department to allow them to immigrate as refugees or under another form of protected status, though no decision has been announced. Aliyah from Canada rose 51 percent between 2023 and 2025, reaching a record high, according to the International Christian Embassy Jerusalem.

An average of more than 10,000 Canadians are leaving the country every month, the highest level of emigration in Canada's recorded history, according to the federal government. Those numbers are not broken down by religion or other groups, but many of those who are leaving are well-paid and highly educated.

Michael Sachs never imagined that he would leave Canada. He grew up in Vancouver, British Columbia, where he raised a family, built a career, and became a leader in the city's Jewish community. Last year, though, Michael and his wife Shira, a teacher, decided to move to the U.S. with their two young children. Speaking to me from

inevitable.

But it wasn't just the antisemitism. It was the sense that no one in power appeared genuinely interested in it or committed to actually addressing it. "Between the inaction of the province and the new federal government, we knew nothing would change," Sachs said. "In fact, we've seen from afar that their inaction has actually made things worse."

Tulsa Tomorrow, an organization that has been working since 2017 to attract Jewish families to Oklahoma's most populous city, said that it has been contacted by roughly 600 Jewish Canadian households since December. Those households include about 2,000 people in all.

I also spoke to a student who left Canada for Israel almost exactly two years ago. She was born and raised in Edmonton, Alberta, but felt "an absence of real, genuine dialogue" about antisemitism or anything related to Israel while she was an undergraduate student at the University of Alberta. "Universities in Canada no longer foster respectful disagreement or serious discussion. Instead, I felt increasingly isolated from my friends and from the people around me, just because of who I was, and what I believe in," she told me.

She is now in graduate school at Tel Aviv University and has no plans to return to Canada. "Canada isn't my home anymore. It hasn't felt like my home for a very long time, and I don't see a future for myself there any longer," she said. Her thinking is also motivated by what she sees as a major "shift in Canadian culture" in which "the way you're treated in Canada is increasingly structured around what category you fit into and whether you match a particular framework of identity or politics or not."

Aedan O'Connor has lived in Miami for almost a decade. What she sees happening in Canada seems even more widespread than what led to her decision to pack her bags and leave the country that her family has called home for five generations. She blamed rapidly changing demographics, largely due to immigration, a focus on being not American rather than uniquely Canadian, social and political "capitulation" to Islamism, and surging antisemitism. Antisemitism is the downstream outcome of other major social and political issues that have crept in and taken hold over time—"a symptom of something darker," she said.

In Miami, O'Connor, 29, has built an event management and ticketing platform. "There is a wonderful culture of innovation and success in Miami, which allowed me to build my company from scratch. I'm excited to raise a family here," she told me.

Last week's terrorism charges against Ahmad Hassan Hajahmada, 33, the pro-Palestinian protester known for his vile antisemitic rhetoric, served as another stark reminder of the ongoing sense of danger that is driving some Canadian Jews to emigrate, while leaving many others uncertain about their future in the country.

Last year, police arrested three men for allegedly attempting to kidnap Jewish women in Toronto. That led to terrorism charges against one of the men, who was accused of providing support to ISIS and conspiracy to commit murder for the terrorist group. In April, a Pakistani national in Canada on a study permit pleaded guilty to plotting a mass shooting at a Jewish center in Brooklyn on the one-year anniversary of October 7. Prosecutors said that he told an undercover officer, "We could rack up easily a lot of Jews."

And while most of the antisemitic incidents in Canada and elsewhere fall below the threshold of terrorism, there remains a deep sense of unease within the country's Jewish community due to the

quieter forms of hatred and bigotry that pervade daily life: shootings of Jewish institutions; vandalism of Jewish-owned businesses, homes, and synagogues; and assaults, acts of intimidation, and various other hate crimes.

Alex Fish, a marketing executive who owns his own company and founded Bonim B'yachad, a program that trains high-school students to recognize antisemitism before they arrive at college, left Canada for South Bend, Indiana, in 2018. He anticipated then what many Jewish Canadians are dealing with now.

"I didn't leave Canada because of a single incident that happened to me," Fish, 37, told me. "I left because I read the trajectory early and acted on it, and everything I've watched happen. . . has confirmed rather than challenged that decision. Canada is past the point of no return."

After seeing Canadian immigration figures rise sharply, particularly from countries that had purged or persecuted their Jewish populations, like Syria, Pakistan, and Afghanistan, Fish got the sense that Canada's security dynamic was going to change quickly. "I told my wife that we needed a plan to leave, whether to Israel or to the United States."

Going forward, Canadian leaders—officials and lawmakers to provincial politicians, mayors, police chiefs, university presidents, corporate executives, and community organizers—should treat this crisis not as merely a Jewish problem that needs to be managed but as a national tragedy that demands an urgent and effective response.

The Jews who are leaving Canada or wondering if they should aren't simply one community among many making a lifestyle choice. They are a warning sign. What those who are giving up on Canada, with their packed bags and passports, is something that no serious country can afford to ignore. (The Free Press Jul 27)

J.D. Vance's Time for Choosing By Rod Dreher

Let's start from a position of charity: J.D. Vance is in an extremely difficult position. It is widely assumed that he opposed the U.S. war on Iran—correctly, in my view—but when President Donald Trump chose to launch it, Vance was fully on board. Who can blame him for that? Vice presidents don't usually take public stands against their presidents.

His dilemma worsened when Trump's war blew up in his face, thanks to Iran's asymmetrical warfare—not merely seizing the Strait of Hormuz but a willingness to go for the desalination and gas-refining jugulars of Arab Gulf States, and accept the catastrophic suffering of its own population. The president named Vance chief negotiator to try to extract the U.S. from the mess Trump made.

The June 17 memorandum of understanding (MOU) negotiated by Vance collapsed under repeated Iranian violations of its terms—which the Islamic Republic had never quite accepted in the first place, which became unignorable after Iran attacked Persian Gulf shipping on July 6. In short, Iran made a fool of both the United States and the vice president—but then, given the sinister nature of the Iranian regime, that was always in the cards.

Trump himself shrugged at the recent NATO summit, calling further negotiations "a waste of time."

Meanwhile, the midterms are approaching, Trump's approval ratings are in the basement, and the administration is increasingly blamed by the right, which accuses Trump of betraying voters who believed he would keep America out of "forever wars." What is Vance, assumed to be looking forward to running as Trump's successor in 2028, to do?

Surveying the dire political and geopolitical landscape, the vice president has decided that the real villain in all this is Israel.

"I know beyond a shadow of a doubt that there have been people within the Israeli government who are trying to, like, actually shift us away from [negotiations] because they want to continue the military campaign," Vance told podcaster Joe Rogan in a blockbuster interview

released on Wednesday.

He cited a Time magazine report revealing that former Trump campaign manager Brad Parscale is running an Israeli-funded campaign through his PR company, though one intended not to influence foreign policy but to counter young U.S. conservatives from turning against the Jewish state. According to Time, the Israelis don't deny the effort, instead expressing anger that Parscale's operation seems to be failing. Citing Pew Research Center, the magazine reported that only one in three Americans view the Israeli government favorably now, with most young Republicans holding negative opinions about the Jewish state.

For his part, Parscale denied that his campaign is designed to sabotage the Trump administration's efforts to end the war Trump started. On his account, it is rather to reverse the nosedive in Israel's standing on the American right.

Nevertheless, Vance did tell Rogan something undeniable: "Right now, Israel is losing the public opinion battle in the United States of America. It is a simple and obvious fact."

Alas, it is. But Vance's broadside, released on the same day nearly half of House Democrats voted to cut off foreign aid to the Jewish state, cannot be taken at face value. In fact, it sounds like scapegoating; a contemporary version of the "stab in the back legend" (Dolchstoßlegende) that German generals conceived and spread in 1918 to blame Jews and socialists for Germany's World War I defeat and their own leadership failures.

Did Israeli prime minister Benjamin Netanyahu direct Iran's Revolutionary Guards to fire on ships attempting to sail through the Strait of Hormuz? Is it really Israel's fault that the weak MOU deal Vance negotiated fell apart, given Iran's capacities and desire to humiliate its archenemy, the Great Satan, whose plan to overthrow the Iranian regime backfired spectacularly in the face of Revolutionary Guard resilience?

Defending the negotiations, Vance told Rogan that he is seeking to avoid a "Libya outcome," a reference to the bungled NATO operation in 2011 that led to dictator Muammar Gaddafi's overthrow. Libya turned into a failed state, one through which millions of refugees subsequently fled into Europe.

"How is it in the United States' interest to have 94 million desperate [Iranians] flooding into Europe, flooding into the United States?" Vance said. Well, yes, but the administration should have thought of that before starting the war with Iran.

Trump and his hawkish allies, including the late senator Lindsey Graham, talked themselves into believing that the Iranian people would rise against the ayatollahs once the U.S. and Israel had eliminated the Islamic Republic's leadership elite. We do not know what—beyond hope—had been planned, only that it did not happen; now the administration, which ignored prewar warnings from Joint Chiefs chairman General Dan Caine that a U.S. attack would likely not achieve the president's objectives, is looking for someone to blame for its catastrophic mistake.

It is impossible to deny that Netanyahu played a critical role in persuading Trump to attack Iran. Nevertheless, Trump has hated the Islamic Republic for decades, since the 1979–81 hostage crisis, which is to say since the birth of the regime that has, in recent years, tried to kill him, members of his administration, and his family. He is also the president of the United States, and the commander in chief of the country's military, and as such makes his own decisions. It is understandable that anti-war factions within the conservative movement resent Netanyahu for making the case for war to Trump. But the idea that a president with a will as strong as Trump's could be tricked into starting a bad war by foreign allies is risible.

It is also more than a little absurd that Vance singled out the Israelis for spending money to advocate for their own strategic interests. Qatar has spent hundreds of billions in the United States since 2010, including employing D.C. lobbying firms as well as through investment with U.S. companies, including some tied to

Trump son-in-law Jared Kushner. Qatar even infamously gave Trump a new Air Force One jet.

True, Qatar was not an initial supporter of the U.S. war on Iran, but the point is that to single out Israel for spending money to influence American political opinion is hypocritical—especially given how much money the U.S. itself has historically spent in other countries to advance its own goals. Indeed, Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA) records show that since Trump returned to office in 2025, the Qatari government, a financial backer of Hamas, has spent generously to influence right-wing commentators. This investment helped a PR firm, Lumen8 Advisors, which received \$180,000 per month from Qatar. In its FARA filings, Lumen8 said its work included consulting relating to a friendly 2025 interview between Tucker Carlson and Qatar’s prime minister.

To be fair, there is no reason Israel, or any other U.S. ally, should be immune from criticism. It is not antisemitic to complain about the policies of the Israeli government; many American Jews and most Israelis do so all the time. But let’s not pretend Vance’s extraordinary blast against Israel—and “American officials” who “act in ways that do not serve the American public”—on the Rogan podcast is happening in a political vacuum.

Since the October 7, 2023, slaughter of Israeli civilians in the Hamas attack, many American college campuses have been overrun with Jew-hatred, in the guise of pro-Palestinian protests. Some protesters have been well-funded by progressive U.S. philanthropies, like George Soros’s Open Society Foundations, Rockefeller, Pritzker family charitable entities, and others. The governments of Qatar and China have also reportedly contributed to the demonstrations, which have gone far beyond mere criticism of the Israeli government and into outright antisemitism.

Similarly, antisemitism has been skyrocketing among young conservatives, egged on by powerful influences like Carlson and Holocaust denier Nick Fuentes. As historians Niall Ferguson and John-Clark Levin recently wrote in *The Free Press*, antisemitism is back in a big way. Young voters, they write, “are most susceptible to these trends, [and] the implications for the future of American politics are chilling.”

The historians are correct. And Vance’s record in speaking out against this evil has been mixed at best.

“I’ve been accused of being an antisemite,” Vance complained to Rogan. Having known him for a decade, I don’t think there is a racist or antisemitic bone in Vance’s body. But why has he been so reticent to take on the metastasizing cancer of Jew-hatred among the young, politically active right?

It’s not like he has been silent. “I think Jew-hatred is disgusting,” he told an interviewer earlier this year. In another interview, he told CNN’s Scott Jennings that antisemitism has no place in the conservative movement.

Well and good. But the reason he gave those interviews earlier this year was because Vance faced backlash for his remarks at the December 2025 Turning Point USA convention, in which he downplayed concerns over rising antisemitism on the young activist right. “We have far more important work to do than canceling each other,” he said, adding that “I didn’t bring a list of conservatives to denounce or to deplatform.”

Earlier at that same event, conservative commentator Ben Shapiro had forcefully denounced Carlson, a Vance friend and close adviser, as well as other right-wing influencers such as Candace Owens, for promoting antisemitism. “These people are frauds and they are grifters and they do not deserve your time,” said Shapiro. Vance, by contrast, was eager to smooth over the rift for the sake of keeping the conservative movement united.

It won’t work. Look at the travails of the Democratic Party, which long ago chose to embrace racial identity politics, and whose elder statesmen now find themselves besieged by radical socialists who are far more extreme in their wokeness, and who are knocking off

established Democrats in House primary elections. Republicans will face the same problem, and faster than they think.

Last November, as the Heritage Foundation was roiling with controversy over its president, Kevin Roberts, who defended Carlson’s platforming of the odious Jew-hater Fuentes in a two-hour softball interview, I went to Washington on a business trip. Prior to my departure, I heard from a conservative activist insider whose Washington sources tell him that between 30 and 40 percent of Zoomer conservatives working in D.C. politics are fans of Fuentes. Every D.C. ZoomerCon to whom I spoke on that trip affirmed the number (a more recent report on the phenomenon in *The New Yorker* quoted an unnamed Trump official putting the number at a staggering 70 percent).

On that Washington trip last autumn, I asked a ZoomerCon friend if the 30 to 40 percent number was true. Yes, he said. Dumbstruck, I asked him what that cohort’s goals are. They have no goals, he said. “They want to tear it all down.”

It struck me at the time that what is going on among youth on both the left and the right—the forthright embrace of antisemitism and other forms of political extremism—is Weimar Germany stuff. I spent the winter and spring buried in historical accounts of 1920s Germany, and found shocking parallels between that era and our own.

As I will document in my forthcoming book *Weimar America*, a century on, we have reproduced the psychosocial conditions of 1920s Germany without having suffered the intense traumas that post-World War I Germany did. Sebastian Haffner, a conservative young German who fled from the Nazis and into exile in 1938, with his Jewish fiancée, described his cohorts as “the Nazi generation.”

The social and moral chaos, the collapse of faith in institutions, and especially the 1923 hyperinflation, Haffner said, “prepared Germany, not specifically for Nazism, but for any fantastic adventure.”

Even so, the Nazis and the communists were both fringe forces in Weimar Germany as late as 1928. But when the Great Depression struck the next year, Germans abandoned the faltering centrist democratic parties, and rushed toward both extremes. In the 1932 Reichstag, the two anti-democratic parties, the National Socialists and the Communist Party of Germany, together outnumbered the supporters of liberal democracy.

Note well: The most ardent Nazi recruits were educated middle-class young men, who had lost all hope in a democratic future, and were eager for whatever adventure the Nazis promised them.

We face a similar situation in America today. On both the left and right, young activists are filled with contempt for older generations (especially the hated Boomers), who they believe stacked the deck against them. As the cultural critic René Girard once observed, “The children repeat the crimes of their fathers precisely because they believe they are morally superior to them.”

In 1951, analyzing why totalitarianism came to both Germany and Russia, Hannah Arendt observed that elites who knew better were prepared to stand back and watch “the destruction of civilization, for the fun of seeing how those who had been excluded unjustly in the past forced their way into it.”

This is the situation American politicians face today. As I wrote in *The Free Press* last fall, after a private meeting with the vice president (who has not been in touch since), Vance is uniquely positioned to draw a red line between the right-wing fanatics and mainstream conservatism. For whatever reasons, he has chosen not to do so, even as Carlson and Owens, with their millions of online followers, descend further into hysterical Jew-hatred.

Again, I do not believe Vance is the least bit racist or antisemitic. I have no idea how much influence Carlson (or “Gríma Tuckertongue,” as I call him) plays on Vance’s thinking these days. History, however, shows the folly of establishment conservatives thinking that they can harness the energy of the far right while

controlling it.

In January 1933, Germany's conservative vice-chancellor Franz von Papen privately counseled accommodation with Hitler, telling President Paul von Hindenburg and others that "in two months' time, we will have squeezed Hitler into a corner until he squeaks!" Later that month, the skeptical Hindenburg named Hitler Reich Chancellor. By spring, Hitler controlled the country, and ended democracy.

To be sure, there are no Hitlers on the horizon in America today. Tomorrow is much less certain, especially if the U.S. should suffer an economic crash, or Anthropic CEO Dario Amodei's prediction that AI will eliminate half of white-collar jobs comes true. In his Rogan interview, Vance himself warned presciently that his party's refusal to take the economic precariousness of the young seriously stands to drive them to socialism.

He's right. Yet we must not forget that National Socialism is still a form of socialism.

As in Weimar Germany and the early years of Nazi rule, Jews were the canary in the cultural, political, and religious coal mine. They still are. Von Papen conservatism, in which respectable right-wing leaders seek to hold on to power by accommodating themselves to extremists, is a dangerous game, and a losing one. Of course criticism of Israel should not be taboo in American political discourse, but that is not what stab-in-the-back scapegoating of the Jewish state and its supporters for decisions made by the commander in chief amounts to.

Nick Fuentes has said a lot of foolish things, but a taunting comment he made on a livestream in late 2025 is plain common sense: "J.D. Vance is getting squeezed, and he's going to have to make a decision." (Free Press Jul 16)

Is Israel Actually More Isolated than Ever?

By Richard Goldberg & Roger Zakheim

"Support for Israel around the world is declining," former Chicago mayor and potential Democratic presidential candidate Rahm Emanuel declared in Israel last week. "The only diplomatic achievement Israel has secured in the past three years is Somaliland."

Is that true? Is Israel more isolated than ever? In left-wing circles, both in the United States and Western Europe, most certainly – a trend many years in the making.

But among conservatives globally, support for Israel is rising – and that's translating into a reversal of left-wing isolation across the Western Hemisphere and beyond.

Argentina's Javier Milei visited Israel in April to establish the "Isaac Accords" – a path to expand Israel's presence in Latin America. Bolivia's Rodrigo Paz and Chile's Jose Antonio Kast both restored diplomatic ties with Israel after taking office.

In Colombia, outgoing President Gustavo Petro accused Israel of hacking an election after voters gave the nod to President Trump's preferred candidate – pro-American and pro-Israel Abelardo de la Espriella.

Looking north, the Conservative Party of Canada couldn't be more pro-Israel, from leader Pierre Poilievre to future foreign minister Shuv Majumdar. The conservatives of the Western Hemisphere are shattering the global left's attempt to isolate Israel.

Looking east across the pond, British Tories remain steadfastly opposed to the rising antisemitism in the United Kingdom while Reform brings more supporters of Israel and anti-Islamists into its fold by the day.

Slovenia, meanwhile, with its newly elected right-wing prime minister Janez Jansa, has announced it will move its embassy in Israel to Jerusalem while rescinding the country's recognition of a Palestinian state.

At the same time, Germany's Friedrich Merz and India's Narendra Modi are busy expanding their defense relationships with Israel. In addition to Germany and India, military delegations from Canada, Britain, France, Morocco, Finland, Greece, Cyprus, the Czech

Republic, Hungary, Poland, Austria, Estonia, Japan, Romania, Serbia, and Slovenia all came to Israel to learn from its military's battlefield lessons and innovations – even as some of its political leaders blasted Israel in public.

American conservatives should be buoyed by Israel's rising stock among conservatives worldwide. Polling suggests that support remains rock solid in the United States.

A recent survey conducted by the Reagan Institute found 80% of MAGA Republicans think Israel's security matters to U.S. security and prosperity – including 63% of those under 30. Over 70% of MAGA Republicans also support sending weapons to Israel, while 60% of Democrats oppose arming Israel.

Another poll released by the Washington Free Beacon found likely Republican primary voters prefer a candidate who supports a strong U.S.-Israel relationship by a margin of 57 percentage points.

Republicans in Congress reflect their pro-Israel electorates. Just this week, not a single Republican voted for an amendment offered by outgoing Rep. Thomas Massie – a fringe Israel-obsessed congressman recently defeated in a GOP primary. Massie won the votes of 103 Democrats and zero Republicans.

Why do conservatives break against Emanuel's claim of Israel being isolated?

Many of these conservative leaders have a lived experience under pro-Islamist, Marxist rule, which informs a world outlook that embraces a technologically innovative, culturally vibrant, and terrorist-fighting democracy like Israel. These leaders see Israel for what it is: a strategic asset. And when they come to power, strengthening bilateral relations with Israel is a Day 1 action item.

Here in the United States, support for Israel is an investment in helping secure another American century – one defined by winning the AI arms race, unlocking quantum computing, controlling the periodic table and mastering advanced energy solutions.

That Israel is a democracy with shared Judeo-Christian values whose fight against terrorism and unmatched intelligence service saves countless American lives remains true – but that is only the foundation of the relationship. The future primes more. Israel is a technological juggernaut that can help us preserve another American century is tomorrow's promise. It is why, as America's National Defense Strategy notes, Israel is a model ally.

It's also why Israel's position within non-traditional multilateral frameworks continues to strengthen. The Abraham Accords have expanded to include Kazakhstan, a Muslim-majority country. Turkey's rise alongside U.S.-brokered peace between Armenia and Azerbaijan is bringing Yerevan and Baku closer to Israel. Greece and Cyprus are doubling down on their Eastern Mediterranean strategic partnership with Israel, too.

It might be a surprise for people to learn that Venezuela – just a few months ago the headquarters for Iran in the Western Hemisphere with no relations with Israel for a generation – welcomed Israeli aid into Caracas after last month's devastating earthquake.

So, when Rahm Emanuel declares Israel more isolated than ever, why is it that we instinctively nod our heads and think it's true? There are indeed alternate universes where it certainly feels true: the dystopian United Nations; the cadre of click-bait podcasters feeding a Marxist-Islamist-Nazi medley of talking points to young people with no living attachment to World War II and no memory of September 11; and the media coverage of Emanuel's own party, which now openly embraces communists, terrorist sympathizers, and people with Nazi tattoos.

The socialist ascendancy within the Democratic Party is a very real phenomenon that threatens American support for Israel. An Islamist ascendancy in Western Europe is very real, too, with negative effects on relations with a Jewish state.

But from the Western Hemisphere to Europe to the Middle East to India, Israel is not as isolated as you think.

(Real Clear Politics Jul 17)